

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

11-4-1-2011	THE PARTY OF THE P			
APPLICATION NO. FILING D	ATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/963,593 09/27/2	001 Hideki Oyama	+L7961.01101	1046	
7590	12/03/2004	EXAM	INER	
STEVENS, DAVIS, MILLER & MOSHER, L.L.P. Suite 850 1615 L Street, N.W. Washington, DC 20036		SAMPLE,	SAMPLE, DAVID R	
		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
		1755		

DATE MAILED: 12/03/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
Office Action Summary	09/963,593	OYAMA ET AL.		
	Examiner	Art Unit		
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	David Sample	1755		
Period for Reply	spears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address >		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPI THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a re - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the maili earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).		nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12 November 2004.				
2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ⊠ Thi	☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.			
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims		,		
4) ☐ Claim(s) 6-8,12,15-18,21-25 and 31-33 is/are 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) ☐ Claim(s) 6-8,12,17,18,21-25 and 31 is/are allo 6) ☐ Claim(s) 15,16,32 and 33 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	awn from consideration. owed.			
Application Papers				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
12) △ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) △ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  1. △ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.				
Attachment(s)		•		
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date    Other:				

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 15, 16, 32, and 33 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Upon further consideration, the color coordinates recited in claims 15 and 32 are deemed indefinite. Currently, claims 15 and 32 recite that glass has a color within the "range" defined by the color system satisfying formulae 1-3. However, the claims do not recite "ranges" for x, y or z. Rather, the claims refer to equations that define lines in the x-y color space. In prior prosecution, the examiner assumed that the claims are definite because one of ordinary skill in the art would interpret the claims to mean that the color coordinates were defined by the following:

 $y \ge 0.39$ 

 $y \le 0.79 - 0.67x$ 

 $y \le x-0.12$ 

The examiner presumed this interpretation because this is the only manner in which one can arrive at a polygon when referring only to these three lines, and therefore arrive at a "ranges" for x and y.

Application/Control Number: 09/963,593 Page 3

Art Unit: 1755

In their response accompanying the RCE, applicants assert that the present claims recite a glass which has a color which falls within the polygon B-C-D-E. To arrive at this, the glass would have to have the color coordinates which fall within the following ranges for x and y:

$$y \ge 0.39$$
  
 $y \ge 0.79 - 0.67x$   
 $y \le x - 0.12$   
 $x + y + z \le 1$  (or  $y \le 1 - x$ ) (z=0)

The claims do not refer to x + y = 1, nor do they provide for the inequality equations that one must employ to arrive at the polygon B-C-D-E. In other words, the claims and the application record is unclear as to the scope of the ranges of the color coordinates for the glass of claims 15 and 32.

In addition, applicants specifically state on the record that the glass of claims 16 and 33 encompass a glass with a color defined by the region B-C-D-E. However, claims 16 and 33 specifically define x and y so that it falls within region defined as the "fog lamp yellow" region referred to in the plot attached to the Reasons for Allowance. It is unclear how a glass could have a color within both regions.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 6-8, 12, 17, 18, 21-25 and 31 allowed.

Art Unit: 1755

## Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to David Sample whose telephone number is (571)272-1376. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Thursday and alternate Fridays.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mark Bell can be reached on (572)272-1362. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

David Sample
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 1755